

Course analysis (course evaluation)

Course code 4TX036	Course title Global toxicology in a sustainable society	Credits 4
Semester (VT/HT-year) HT-2023		

Course leader/examiner Hanna Karlsson	Other teacher(s) responsible for major part(s) (if applicable)
---	---

Number of registered students (at 3-week check) 29	Number of students that passed at end of course (after regular session) 28	Response rate in KI survey (%) 69%
--	--	--

Other methods for influence by students (besides KI survey) Discussions and possibility to choose projects
--

How and when is feedback of KI survey results given to students? Uploaded on course web for the next course

1. Description of any changes made since last course event (based on for example feedback from previous students)

The main change (based e.g. on comments from previous students) was that the course was made longer (from 2.5 credits to 4 credits) and the main change (addition) in content was material and lectures around “actions”, “how to change behaviors” and “how to impact the society”.

2. Brief summary of the KI survey

(Based on students' quantitative answers and major feedback from free-text answers)

KI or programme-specific question	Average result -(1-worst, 5-best)
In my view, I have developed valuable expertise/skills during the course.	4.0
In my view, I have achieved all the intended learning outcomes of the course.	4.4
In my view, there was a common theme running throughout the course – from learning outcomes to examinations.	4.5
In my view, the course has promoted a scientific way of thinking and reasoning (e.g. analytical and critical thinking, independent search for and evaluation of information).	4.0
In my view, during the course, the teachers have been open to ideas and opinions about the course's structure and content.	4.6
The course structure and methods used (e.g. lectures, exercises, seminars, assignments etc.) were relevant in relation to the learning outcomes.	4.3
The examination was relevant in relation to the learning outcomes.	4.5
I was actively participating in learning activities.	4.3
When/if I had questions or problems with the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher/supervisor for guidance.	4.7
What is your overall experience of the course?	4.4
To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded? <i>(1= far too little, 2= to little, 3= appropriate, 4= too much, 5= far too much)</i>	3.1



3. Course coordinator's reflections on the course and the results:

The course was very much appreciated by the students (overall experience 4.4) and several comments was related to the fact that all programs at KI should have similar courses related to sustainable development.

("I strongly recommend delivering variants of this course to all students pursuing a higher degree at KI"). The possibility to choose project was also appreciated as well as the mix of activities.

4. Other comments:

5. Course coordinator's conclusions and suggestions for changes:

The new longer course was very much appreciated by the students. The learning outcomes were reached. There is no need to make major changes even though updates are always needed in a course like this!