Sid: 1/2



Course analysis (course evaluation)

Course code	Course title	Credits
4TX016	Histopathology and clinical pathology	5
Semester (VT/HT-year)		
HT23		

Course leader/examiner	Other teacher(s) responsible for major part(s) (if applicable)
Annika Hanberg	

Number of registered students (at 3-week check)	Number of students that passed at end of course (after regular session)	Response rate in KI survey (%)		
19	19	79%		
Other methods for influence by students (besides KI survey)				
Meet the students regularly, open to questions and feedback				
How and when is feedback of KI survey results given to students?				
Published at the web site. Summary presented for next group of students.				

1. Description of any changes made since last course event (based on for example feedback from previous students)

No changes since HT22. The development of a mix of online and campus activities together with an individual assignment has made it successful and appreciated by the students.

2. Brief summary of the KI survey

(Based on students' quantitative answers and major feedback from free-text answers)
Students were happy with the course. They like the mix of lectures and online tools for self-study.
They would have liked to do some microscopy.

KI or programme-specific question	Average result -(1- worst, 5- best)
In my view, I have developed valuable expertise/skills during the course.	4.2
In my view, I have achieved all the intended learning outcomes of the course.	4.3
in my view, i have achieved all the interlued learning outcomes of the course.	4.3
In my view, there was a common theme running throughout the course – from learning outcomes to examinations.	4.3
In my view, the course has promoted a scientific way of thinking and reasoning (e.g. analytical and critical thinking, independent search for and evaluation of information).	4.4
In my view, during the course, the teachers have been open to ideas and opinions about the course's structure and content.	4.7
The course structure and methods used (e.g. lectures, exercises, seminars, assignments etc.) were relevant in relation to the learning outcomes.	4.3
The examination was relevant in relation to the learning outcomes.	4.6
I was actively participating in learning activities.	4.7
When/if I had questions or problems with the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher/supervisor for guidance.	4.8
What is your overall experience of the course?	4.5
To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded? (1= far too little, 2= to little, 3= appropriate, 4= too much, 5= far too much)	

Sid: 2/2



3. Course coordinator's reflections on the course and the results:

The course works well and is appreciated by students. The main teacher is very appreciated.

4. Other comments:

Scheduling this course is challenging as it starts just before the Christmas/winter break, which is no real break.

5. Course coordinator's conclusions and suggestions for changes:

The outline of the course will be kept. However, the changes in semester dates for HT24 will require a change in the outline (which parts will be scheduled before and which after the holidays). The students would have liked to do some microscopy, but there are no microscopes for students at KI any longer so this is not possible.