4TX032 Regulatory toxicity testing (10 credits) Autumn 2024

Respondents: 19
Answer Count: 18
Answer Frequency: 94.74%

In my view, I have developed valuable expertise/skills during the course.

In my view, I have developed valuable expertise/skills during the course.	Number of responses
to a very small extent	0 (0.0%)
to a small extent	1 (5.6%)
to some extent	3 (16.7%)
to a large extent	5 (27.8%)
to a very large extent	9 (50.0%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

● In my view, I have developed valuable experti…

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, I have developed valuable expertise/skills during the course.	4.2	0.9	22.3 %	2.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.0

**In my view, I have achieved all the intended learning outcomes of the course.**

In my view, I have achieved all the intended learning outcomes of the course.	Number of responses
to a very small extent	0 (0.0%)
to a small extent	0 (0.0%)
to some extent	1 (5.6%)
to a large extent	7 (38.9%)
to a very large extent	10 (55.6%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

🔵 In my view, I have achieved all the intended l…

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, I have achieved all the intended learning outcomes of the course.	4.5	0.6	13.7 %	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, there was a common theme running throughout the course – from learning outcomes to examinations.

In my view, there was a common theme running throughout the course – from learning outcomes to examinations.	Number of responses
to a very small extent	0 (0.0%)
to a small extent	0 (0.0%)
to some extent	1 (5.6%)
to a large extent	7 (38.9%)
to a very large extent	10 (55.6%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

🔵 In my view, there was a common theme runni…

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, there was a common theme running throughout the course – from learning outcomes to examinations.	4.5	0.6	13.7 %	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, the course has promoted a scientific way of thinking and reasoning (e.g. analytical and critical thinking, independent search for and evaluation of information).

In my view, the course has promoted a scientific way of thinking and reasoning (e.g. analytical and critical thinking, independent search for and evaluation of information).	Number of responses
to a very small extent	0 (0.0%)
to a small extent	1 (5.6%)
to some extent	0 (0.0%)
to a large extent	4 (22.2%)
to a very large extent	13 (72.2%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

In my view, the course has promoted a scient…

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the course has promoted a scientific way of thinking and reasoning (e.g. analytical and critical thinking, independent search for and evaluation of information).	4.6	0.8	16.9 %	2.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, during the course, the teachers have been open to ideas and opinions about the course's structure and content.

In my view, during the course, the teachers have been open to ideas and opinions about the course's structure and content.	Number of responses
to a very small extent	0 (0.0%)
to a small extent	0 (0.0%)
to some extent	2 (11.1%)
to a large extent	6 (33.3%)
to a very large extent	10 (55.6%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

In my view, during the course, the teachers h…

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, during the course, the teachers have been open to ideas and opinions about the course's structure and content.	4.4	0.7	15.9 %	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0

To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded?

To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded?	Number of responses
far too little	0 (0.0%)
too little	4 (22.2%)
appropriate	13 (72.2%)
too much	1 (5.6%)
far too much	0 (0.0%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

● To what extent do you feel that the workload …

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded?	2.8	0.5	18.2 %	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0

The course structure and methods used (e.g. lectures, exercises, seminars, assignments etc.) were relevant in relation to the learning outcomes.

The course structure and methods used (e.g. lectures, exercises, seminars, assignments etc.) were relevant in relation to the learning outcomes.	Number of responses
to a very small extent	0 (0.0%)
to a small extent	0 (0.0%)
to some extent	4 (22.2%)
to a large extent	6 (33.3%)
to a very large extent	8 (44.4%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

● The course structure and methods used (e.g....

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
The course structure and methods used (e.g. lectures, exercises, seminars, assignments etc.) were relevant in relation to the learning outcomes.	4.2	0.8	19.1 %	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0

The examination was relevant in relation to the learning outcomes.

The examination was relevant in relation to the learning outcomes.	Number of responses
to a very small extent	0 (0.0%)
to a small extent	0 (0.0%)
to some extent	2 (11.1%)
to a large extent	7 (38.9%)
to a very large extent	9 (50.0%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

● The examination was relevant in relation to t…

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
The examination was relevant in relation to the learning outcomes.	4.4	0.7	15.9 %	3.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.0

![Karolinska Institutet logo]

I was actively participating in learning activities.

I was actively participating in learning activities.	Number of responses
to a very small extent	0 (0.0%)
to a small extent	0 (0.0%)
to some extent	0 (0.0%)
to a large extent	2 (11.1%)
to a very large extent	16 (88.9%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

● I was actively participating in learning activiti…

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
I was actively participating in learning activities.	4.9	0.3	6.6 %	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0

When/if I had questions or problems with the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher/supervisor for guidance.

When/if I had questions or problems with the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher/supervisor for guidance.	Number of responses
to a very small extent	0 (0.0%)
to a small extent	0 (0.0%)
to some extent	1 (5.6%)
to a large extent	5 (27.8%)
to a very large extent	12 (66.7%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

● When/if I had questions or problems with the…

|	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
When/if I had questions or problems with the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher/supervisor for guidance.	4.6	0.6	13.2 %	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0

What is your overall experience of the course?

What is your overall experience of the course?	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	1 (5.6%)
ok	2 (11.1%)
good	9 (50.0%)
very good	6 (33.3%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
What is your overall experience of the course?	4.1	0.8	20.2 %	2.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0

Have you during the course been subjected to negative discrimination or insults because of your gender, ethnic origin, religion, disability or sexual orientation? If the answer is yes, the programme advises you to contact the study advisor or the student ombudsman; see KI webpage for Contact information.

Have you during the course been subjected to negative discrimination or insults because of your gender, ethnic origin, religion, disability or sexual orientation? If the answer is yes, the programme advises you to contact the study advisor or the student ombudsman; see KI webpage for Contact information.	Number of responses
Yes	0 (0.0%)
No	18 (100.0%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
Have you during the course been subjected to negative discrimination or insults because of your gender, ethnic origin, religion, disability or sexual orientation? If the answer is yes, the programme advises you to contact the study advisor or the student ombudsman; see KI webpage for Contact information.	2.0	0.0	0.0 %	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0

What was the reason for the negative discrimination or insult?

What was the reason for the negative discrimination or insult?	Number of responses
gender	0 (0.0%)
ethnic origin	0 (0.0%)
religion	0 (0.0%)
disability	0 (0.0%)
sexual orientation	0 (0.0%)
Total	0 (0.0%)

🔵 **What was the reason for the negative discrim…**

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
What was the reason for the negative discrimination or insult?	0.0	0.0	NaN %	∞	0.0	0.0	0.0	-∞

**In my view, the combination of activities on Campus, at RISE and on Zoom were:**

In my view, the combination of activities on Campus, at RISE and on Zoom were:	Number of responses
Very poor	0 (0.0%)
Poor	0 (0.0%)
Ok	5 (27.8%)
Good	7 (38.9%)
Very good	6 (33.3%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

In my view, the combination of activities on …

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the combination of activities on Campus, at RISE and on Zoom were:	4.1	0.8	19.8 %	3.0	3.5	4.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, the lectures were:

In my view, the lectures were:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	0 (0.0%)
OK	3 (16.7%)
good	9 (50.0%)
very good	6 (33.3%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

In my view, the lectures were:

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the lectures were:	4.2	0.7	17.0 %	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0

**In my view, the group dynamics activity with Annika and Christina Björklund was:**

In my view, the group dynamics activity with Annika and Christina Björklund was:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	0 (0.0%)
OK	3 (16.7%)
good	5 (27.8%)
very good	10 (55.6%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

In my view, the group dynamics activity with …

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the group dynamics activity with Annika and Christina Björklund was:	4.4	0.8	17.7 %	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, the method part of the course (test guideline, GLP, study plan, SOPs) was:

In my view, the method part of the course (test guideline, GLP, study plan, SOPs) was:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	0 (0.0%)
OK	4 (22.2%)
good	7 (38.9%)
very good	7 (38.9%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

In my view, the method part of the course (te…

|	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the method part of the course (test guideline, GLP, study plan, SOPs) was:	4.2	0.8	18.9 %	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, the study visit at the animal facility at RISE was:

In my view, the study visit at the animal facility at RISE was:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	0 (0.0%)
OK	3 (16.7%)
good	3 (16.7%)
very good	12 (66.7%)
I did not attend	0 (0.0%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

In my view, the study visit at the animal facili…

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the study visit at the animal facility at RISE was:	4.5	0.8	17.5 %	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, the results part of the course was:

In my view, the results part of the course was:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	4 (22.2%)
OK	1 (5.6%)
good	6 (33.3%)
very good	7 (38.9%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

In my view, the results part of the course was:

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the results part of the course was:	3.9	1.2	30.4 %	2.0	3.5	4.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, the report writing part was:

In my view, the report writing part was:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	0 (0.0%)
OK	4 (22.2%)
good	6 (33.3%)
very good	8 (44.4%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

● In my view, the report writing part was:

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the report writing part was:	4.2	0.8	19.1 %	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, the QA group meeting with Heike was:

In my view, the QA group meeting with Heike was:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	0 (0.0%)
OK	5 (27.8%)
good	6 (33.3%)
very good	7 (38.9%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

● In my view, the QA group meeting with Heike …

|	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the QA group meeting with Heike was:	4.1	0.8	20.2 %	3.0	3.5	4.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, the group work (within the group) was:

In my view, the group work (within the group) was:	Number of responses
very poor	1 (5.6%)
poor	0 (0.0%)
OK	2 (11.1%)
good	3 (16.7%)
very good	12 (66.7%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

● In my view, the group work (within the group)…

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the group work (within the group) was:	4.4	1.1	24.9 %	1.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, the coordination between the groups was:

In my view, the coordination between the groups was:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	3 (16.7%)
OK	1 (5.6%)
good	5 (27.8%)
very good	9 (50.0%)
do not know	0 (0.0%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

● In my view, the coordination between the gro…

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the coordination between the groups was:	4.1	1.1	27.5 %	2.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.0

**In my view, the tutors were able to support my learning during the course:**

In my view, the tutors were able to support my learning during the course:	Number of responses
to a very small extent	2 (11.1%)
to a small extent	2 (11.1%)
to some extent	2 (11.1%)
to a large extent	6 (33.3%)
to a very large extent	6 (33.3%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

In my view, the tutors were able to support m…

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the tutors were able to support my learning during the course:	3.7	1.4	37.4 %	1.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, the lectures from AstraZeneca were:

In my view, the lectures from AstraZeneca were:	Number of responses
Very poor	0 (0.0%)
Poor	0 (0.0%)
Ok	3 (16.7%)
Good	6 (33.3%)
Very good	9 (50.0%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

In my view, the lectures from AstraZeneca we…

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the lectures from AstraZeneca were:	4.3	0.8	17.7 %	3.0	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.0

![Karolinska Institutet logo]

In my view, my experience from this course will be valuable for my future work/research

In my view, my experience from this course will be valuable for my future work/research	Number of responses
no	1 (5.6%)
probably not	1 (5.6%)
probably	3 (16.7%)
yes	3 (16.7%)
yes indeed	10 (55.6%)
Total	18 (100.0%)

🔵 **In my view, my experience from this course …**

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, my experience from this course will be valuable for my future work /research	4.1	1.2	30.0 %	1.0	3.5	5.0	5.0	5.0