

Course analysis (course evaluation)

Course code 4TX018	Course title Principles of Toxicology	Credits 7.5
Semester (VT/HT-year) HT 2024		

Course leader/examiner Kristian Dreij	Other teacher(s) responsible for major part(s) (if applicable) Anda Gliga
---	---

Number of registered students (at 3-week check) 31	Number of students that passed at end of course (after regular session) 30	Response rate in KI survey (%) 87.1
Other methods for influence by students (besides KI survey) Course council		
How and when is feedback of KI survey results given to students? Course web		

1. Description of any changes made since last course event (based on for example feedback from previous students)

Nothing major

2. Brief summary of the KI survey

(Based on students' quantitative answers and major feedback from free-text answers)

The course was well appreciated by the students and with a reasonable workload. The journal club, the seminar days on air pollution and epidemiology were also appreciated (3.9-4.4). The students suggested some constructive feedback on the scope and content of the activities related to more generic skills.

KI or programme-specific question	Average result -(1-worst, 5-best)
In my view, I have developed valuable expertise/skills during the course.	4.1
In my view, I have achieved all the intended learning outcomes of the course.	4.1
In my view, there was a common theme running throughout the course – from learning outcomes to examinations.	4.3
In my view, the course has promoted a scientific way of thinking and reasoning (e.g. analytical and critical thinking, independent search for and evaluation of information).	4.6
In my view, during the course, the teachers have been open to ideas and opinions about the course's structure and content.	4.6
The course structure and methods used (e.g. lectures, exercises, seminars, assignments etc.) were relevant in relation to the learning outcomes.	4.2
The examination was relevant in relation to the learning outcomes.	4.4
I was actively participating in learning activities.	4.4
When/if I had questions or problems with the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher/supervisor for guidance.	4.4
What is your overall experience of the course?	4.4
To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded? <i>(1= far too little, 2= to little, 3= appropriate, 4= too much, 5= far too much)</i>	3.1



3. Course coordinator's reflections on the course and the results:

This course continues to be well appreciated by the students and especially the journal club. We will continue to develop the activities related to training in generic skills to meet the students' expectations.

4. Other comments:

5. Course coordinator's conclusions and suggestions for changes:
