



Health risk assessment (9 credits) Spring 2026

Respondents: 28
Answer Count: 21
Answer Frequency: 75.00%


Health risk assessment (9 credits) Spring 2026

Respondents: 28
Answer Count: 21
Answer Frequency: 75.00%

The course was designed in a way that provided me with opportunities for active learning. For example: seminars with discussions, group work, projects, student presentations, role play, peer learning, practical exercises, laboratory work, workplace-based learning, etc.

The course was designed in a way that provided me with opportunities for active learning. For example: seminars with discussions, group work, projects, student presentations, role play, peer learning, practical exercises, laboratory work, workplace-based learning, etc.

	Number of responses
1 Totally disagree	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	0 (0.0%)
5	3 (14.3%)
6 Totally agree	18 (85.7%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	21 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
The course was designed in a way that provided me with opportunities for active learning. For example: seminars with discussions, group work, projects, student presentations, role play, peer learning, practical exercises, laboratory work, workplace-based learning, etc.	5.9	0.4	6.1 %	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0



I felt included and respected during the course. For example: I was comfortable collaborating with other students, speaking in front of the group, answering teachers' questions, and I was listened to (not interrupted, ridiculed, or similar).


	Number of responses
I felt included and respected during the course. For example: I was comfortable collaborating with other students, speaking in front of the group, answering teachers' questions, and I was listened to (not interrupted, ridiculed, or similar).	
1 Totally disagree	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	0 (0.0%)
5	2 (9.5%)
6 Totally agree	19 (90.5%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	21 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
I felt included and respected during the course. For example: I was comfortable collaborating with other students, speaking in front of the group, answering teachers' questions, and I was listened to (not interrupted, ridiculed, or similar).	5.9	0.3	5.1 %	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0

The course as a whole was good.

	Number of responses
The course as a whole was good.	
1 Totally disagree	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	1 (4.8%)
5	3 (14.3%)
6 Totally agree	17 (81.0%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	21 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
The course as a whole was good.	5.8	0.5	9.4 %	4.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0



To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded?

To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded?	Number of responses
Far too little	0 (0.0%)
Too little	0 (0.0%)
Appropriate	19 (90.5%)
Too much	2 (9.5%)
Far too much	0 (0.0%)
Total	21 (100.0%)




● To what extent do you feel that the workload ...

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded?	3.1	0.3	9.7 %	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0

When/if I had questions concerning the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher/supervisor for guidance.

When/if I had questions concerning the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher /supervisor for guidance.	Number of responses
1 Totally disagree	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	0 (0.0%)
5	4 (19.0%)
6 Totally agree	17 (81.0%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	21 (100.0%)




● When/if I had questions concerning the cour...



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
When/if I had questions concerning the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher /supervisor for guidance.	5.8	0.4	6.9 %	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0

In my view, the lectures were:

In my view, the lectures were:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (4.8%)
4	3 (14.3%)
5	8 (38.1%)
6 very good	9 (42.9%)
Total	21 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the lectures were:	5.2	0.9	16.8 %	3.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	6.0



In my view, the mandatory group assignment and seminar on the BPA risk assessment report (first week) were:

In my view, the mandatory group assignment and seminar on the BPA risk assessment report (first week) were:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	1 (4.8%)
3	2 (9.5%)
4	0 (0.0%)
5	10 (47.6%)
6 very good	8 (38.1%)
Total	21 (100.0%)




● In my view, the mandatory group assignment...

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the mandatory group assignment and seminar on the BPA risk assessment report (first week) were:	5.0	1.1	22.1 %	2.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	6.0

In my view, the mandatory exercises on Adverse effect and health-based guidance values was:

In my view, the mandatory exercises on Adverse effect and health-based guidance values was:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	3 (14.3%)
5	3 (14.3%)
6 very good	15 (71.4%)
Total	21 (100.0%)




● In my view, the mandatory exercises on Adve...

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the mandatory exercises on Adverse effect and health-based guidance values was:	5.6	0.7	13.4 %	4.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0



In my view, the mandatory individual assignment and workshop on Toxicity testing for health risk assessment – principles and ethics was:

In my view, the mandatory individual assignment and workshop on Toxicity testing for health risk assessment – principles and ethics was:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (4.8%)
4	4 (19.0%)
5	7 (33.3%)
6 very good	9 (42.9%)
Total	21 (100.0%)




● In my view, the mandatory individual assign...

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the mandatory individual assignment and workshop on Toxicity testing for health risk assessment – principles and ethics was:	5.1	0.9	17.7 %	3.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	6.0

In my view, the mandatory seminar on Weight of Evidence assessment was:

In my view, the mandatory seminar on Weight of Evidence assessment was:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (4.8%)
4	3 (14.3%)
5	6 (28.6%)
6 very good	11 (52.4%)
Total	21 (100.0%)



● In my view, the mandatory seminar on Weigh...

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the mandatory seminar on Weight of Evidence assessment was:	5.3	0.9	17.1 %	3.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0



In my view, the mandatory individual assignment and Canvas quiz on Classification and Labelling were:


In my view, the mandatory individual assignment and Canvas quiz on Classification and Labelling were:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	2 (9.5%)
4	6 (28.6%)
5	5 (23.8%)
6 very good	8 (38.1%)
Total	21 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the mandatory individual assignment and Canvas quiz on Classification and Labelling were:	4.9	1.0	21.3 %	3.0	4.0	5.0	6.0	6.0

In my view, the mandatory study visit to the Swedish Chemicals Agency was:

In my view, the mandatory study visit to the Swedish Chemicals Agency was:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	1 (4.8%)
5	5 (23.8%)
6 very good	15 (71.4%)
Total	21 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the mandatory study visit to the Swedish Chemicals Agency was:	5.7	0.6	10.2 %	4.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0



In my view, the mandatory seminar and group exercises on IARC classification was:

In my view, the mandatory seminar and group exercises on IARC classification was:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	2 (9.5%)
5	9 (42.9%)
6 very good	10 (47.6%)
Total	21 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the mandatory seminar and group exercises on IARC classification was:	5.4	0.7	12.4 %	4.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	6.0

In my view, the mandatory workshop on benchmark dose modeling was:

In my view, the mandatory workshop on benchmark dose modeling was:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	4 (19.0%)
5	3 (14.3%)
6 very good	14 (66.7%)
Total	21 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the mandatory workshop on benchmark dose modeling was:	5.5	0.8	14.9 %	4.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0



In my view, the mandatory seminar on risk communication was:


In my view, the mandatory seminar on risk communication was:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	3 (14.3%)
5	8 (38.1%)
6 very good	10 (47.6%)
Total	21 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the mandatory seminar on risk communication was:	5.3	0.7	13.7 %	4.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	6.0

In my view, the mandatory journal club on "Next Generation Risk Assessment" (including the individual assignment and group exercise) was

In my view, the mandatory journal club on "Next Generation Risk Assessment" (including the individual assignment and group exercise) was	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	1 (4.8%)
3	1 (4.8%)
4	1 (4.8%)
5	7 (33.3%)
6 very good	11 (52.4%)
Total	21 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the mandatory journal club on "Next Generation Risk Assessment" (including the individual assignment and group exercise) was	5.2	1.1	20.8 %	2.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0



In my view, the mandatory study visit to the Swedish Food Agency was:


In my view, the mandatory study visit to the Swedish Food Agency was:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	2 (9.5%)
5	0 (0.0%)
6 very good	19 (90.5%)
Total	21 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the mandatory study visit to the Swedish Food Agency was:	5.8	0.6	10.4 %	4.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0

In my view, the mandatory study visit to the Swedish Medical Products Agency was:

In my view, the mandatory study visit to the Swedish Medical Products Agency was:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (4.8%)
4	5 (23.8%)
5	2 (9.5%)
6 very good	13 (61.9%)
Total	21 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the mandatory study visit to the Swedish Medical Products Agency was:	5.3	1.0	19.1 %	3.0	4.0	6.0	6.0	6.0



In my view, the risk assessment case group work as a method of learning was:


In my view, the risk assessment case group work as a method of learning was:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	0 (0.0%)
5	2 (9.5%)
6 very good	19 (90.5%)
Total	21 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the risk assessment case group work as a method of learning was:	5.9	0.3	5.1 %	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0

In my view, the oral presentations of the risk assessment group work as a learning activity was.

In my view, the oral presentations of the risk assessment group work as a learning activity was.	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	0 (0.0%)
5	7 (33.3%)
6 very good	14 (66.7%)
Total	21 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the oral presentations of the risk assessment group work as a learning activity was.	5.7	0.5	8.5 %	5.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0