4TX018 Principles of Toxicology (7.5 credits) Autumn 2025

Respondents: 28
Answer Count: 22
Answer Frequency: 78.57%

4TX018 Principles of Toxicology (7.5 credits) Autumn 2025

Respondents: 28
Answer Count: 22
Answer Frequency: 78.57%

The course was designed in a way that provided me with opportunities for active learning. For example: seminars with discussions, group work, projects, student presentations, role play, peer learning, practical exercises, laboratory work, workplace-based learning, etc.

The course was designed in a way that provided me with opportunities for active learning. For example: seminars with discussions, group work, projects, student presentations, role play, peer learning, practical exercises, laboratory work, workplace-based learning, etc.	Number of responses
1 Totally disagree	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	0 (0.0%)
5	5 (22.7%)
6 Totally agree	17 (77.3%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	22 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
The course was designed in a way that provided me with opportunities for active learning. For example: seminars with discussions, group work, projects, student presentations, role play, peer learning, practical exercises, laboratory work, workplace-based learning, etc.	5.8	0.4	7.4 %	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0

I felt included and respected during the course. For example: I was comfortable collaborating with other students, speaking in front of the group, answering teachers' questions, and I was listened to (not interrupted, ridiculed, or similar).

I felt included and respected during the course. For example: I was comfortable collaborating with other students, speaking in front of the group, answering teachers' questions, and I was listened to (not interrupted, ridiculed, or similar).	Number of responses
1 Totally disagree	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	1 (4.5%)
5	4 (18.2%)
6 Totally agree	17 (77.3%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	22 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
I felt included and respected during the course. For example: I was comfortable collaborating with other students, speaking in front of the group, answering teachers' questions, and I was listened to (not interrupted, ridiculed, or similar).	5.7	0.6	9.6 %	4.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0

The course as a whole was good.

The course as a whole was good.	Number of responses
1 Totally disagree	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	5 (22.7%)
5	4 (18.2%)
6 Totally agree	13 (59.1%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	22 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
The course as a whole was good.	5.4	0.8	15.8 %	4.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0

To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded?

To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded?	Number of responses
Far too little	0 (0.0%)
Too little	0 (0.0%)
Appropriate	21 (95.5%)
Too much	1 (4.5%)
Far too much	0 (0.0%)
Total	22 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded?	3.0	0.2	7.0 %	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0

When/if I had questions concerning the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher/supervisor for guidance.

When/if I had questions concerning the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher /supervisor for guidance.	Number of responses
1 Totally disagree	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (4.5%)
4	0 (0.0%)
5	4 (18.2%)
6 Totally agree	17 (77.3%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	22 (100.0%)

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
When/if I had questions concerning the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher /supervisor for guidance.	5.7	0.7	12.6 %	3.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0

My previous knowledge was sufficient to pass this course.

My previous knowledge was sufficient to pass this course.	Number of responses
to a very small extent	1 (4.5%)
to a small extent	2 (9.1%)
to some extent	10 (45.5%)
to a large extent	5 (22.7%)
to a very large extent	4 (18.2%)
Total	22 (100.0%)

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
My previous knowledge was sufficient to pass this course.	3.4	1.1	30.9 %	1.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	5.0

In my view the seminar “Collaborating and communicating across cultures” was:

In my view the seminar “Collaborating and communicating across cultures” was:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	0 (0.0%)
ok	7 (31.8%)
good	7 (31.8%)
very good	8 (36.4%)
Total	22 (100.0%)

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view the seminar “Collaborating and communicating across cultures” was:	4.0	0.8	20.9 %	3.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, the part “Journal club” was:

In my view, the part “Journal club” was:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	0 (0.0%)
ok	3 (13.6%)
good	7 (31.8%)
very good	12 (54.5%)
Total	22 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the part “Journal club” was:	4.4	0.7	16.7 %	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, the parts on “Scientific reading/writing” and "Plagiarism" were:

In my view, the parts on “Scientific reading/writing” and "Plagiarism" were:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	2 (9.1%)
ok	12 (54.5%)
good	5 (22.7%)
very good	3 (13.6%)
Total	22 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the parts on “Scientific reading/writing” and "Plagiarism" were:	3.4	0.9	25.1 %	2.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	5.0

In my view, the part “Philosophy of Science and Research Ethics” was:

In my view, the part “Philosophy of Science and Research Ethics” was:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	1 (4.5%)
ok	5 (22.7%)
good	8 (36.4%)
very good	8 (36.4%)
Total	22 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the part “Philosophy of Science and Research Ethics” was:	4.0	0.9	22.2 %	2.0	3.5	4.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, the part “Presentation technique” was:

In my view, the part “Presentation technique” was:	Number of responses
very poor	1 (4.5%)
poor	3 (13.6%)
ok	8 (36.4%)
good	7 (31.8%)
very good	3 (13.6%)
Total	22 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the part “Presentation technique” was:	3.4	1.0	31.2 %	1.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	5.0

In my view, the part “Epidemiology” was:

In my view, the part “Epidemiology” was:	Number of responses
very poor	1 (4.5%)
poor	0 (0.0%)
ok	5 (22.7%)
good	6 (27.3%)
very good	10 (45.5%)
Total	22 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the part “Epidemiology” was:	4.1	1.1	26.0 %	1.0	3.5	4.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, the part “Health effects of air pollution” was:

In my view, the part “Health effects of air pollution” was:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	0 (0.0%)
ok	5 (22.7%)
good	8 (36.4%)
very good	9 (40.9%)
Total	22 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the part “Health effects of air pollution” was:	4.2	0.8	19.0 %	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, the trip to Finnhamn was:

In my view, the trip to Finnhamn was:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	0 (0.0%)
ok	1 (4.5%)
good	1 (4.5%)
very good	20 (90.9%)
Total	22 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the trip to Finnhamn was:	4.9	0.5	9.6 %	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0