4TX037 Molecular and Cellular Toxicology (8.5 credits) Autumn 2025

Respondents: 16
Answer Count: 12
Answer Frequency: 75.00%

4TX037 Molecular and Cellular Toxicology (8.5 credits) Autumn 2025

Respondents: 16
Answer Count: 12
Answer Frequency: 75.00%

The course was designed in a way that provided me with opportunities for active learning. For example: seminars with discussions, group work, projects, student presentations, role play, peer learning, practical exercises, laboratory work, workplace-based learning, etc.

The course was designed in a way that provided me with opportunities for active learning. For example: seminars with discussions, group work, projects, student presentations, role play, peer learning, practical exercises, laboratory work, workplace-based learning, etc.	Number of responses
1 Totally disagree	0 (0.0%)
2	1 (8.3%)
3	1 (8.3%)
4	1 (8.3%)
5	2 (16.7%)
6 Totally agree	7 (58.3%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	12 (100.0%)

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
The course was designed in a way that provided me with opportunities for active learning. For example: seminars with discussions, group work, projects, student presentations, role play, peer learning, practical exercises, laboratory work, workplace-based learning, etc.	5.1	1.4	27.1 %	2.0	4.5	6.0	6.0	6.0

I felt included and respected during the course. For example: I was comfortable collaborating with other students, speaking in front of the group, answering teachers' questions, and I was listened to (not interrupted, ridiculed, or similar).

I felt included and respected during the course. For example: I was comfortable collaborating with other students, speaking in front of the group, answering teachers' questions, and I was listened to (not interrupted, ridiculed, or similar).	Number of responses
1 Totally disagree	0 (0.0%)
2	1 (8.3%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	1 (8.3%)
5	0 (0.0%)
6 Totally agree	10 (83.3%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	12 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
I felt included and respected during the course. For example: I was comfortable collaborating with other students, speaking in front of the group, answering teachers' questions, and I was listened to (not interrupted, ridiculed, or similar).	5.5	1.2	22.6 %	2.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0

The course as a whole was good.

The course as a whole was good.	Number of responses
1 Totally disagree	0 (0.0%)
2	2 (16.7%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	6 (50.0%)
5	3 (25.0%)
6 Totally agree	1 (8.3%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	12 (100.0%)

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
The course as a whole was good.	4.1	1.2	28.5 %	2.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	6.0

To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded?

To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded?	Number of responses
Far too little	0 (0.0%)
Too little	1 (8.3%)
Appropriate	11 (91.7%)
Too much	0 (0.0%)
Far too much	0 (0.0%)
Total	12 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded?	2.9	0.3	9.9 %	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0

When/if I had questions concerning the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher/supervisor for guidance.

When/if I had questions concerning the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher /supervisor for guidance.	Number of responses
1 Totally disagree	1 (8.3%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (8.3%)
4	0 (0.0%)
5	3 (25.0%)
6 Totally agree	7 (58.3%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	12 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
When/if I had questions concerning the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher /supervisor for guidance.	5.1	1.6	30.8 %	1.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0

In my view, the Oxidative stress Journal Club was:

In my view, the Oxidative stress Journal Club was:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	0 (0.0%)
ok	1 (8.3%)
good	6 (50.0%)
very good	5 (41.7%)
Total	12 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the Oxidative stress Journal Club was:	4.3	0.7	15.0 %	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, the Biostatistics-part of the course was:

In my view, the Biostatistics-part of the course was:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	1 (8.3%)
ok	3 (25.0%)
good	5 (41.7%)
very good	3 (25.0%)
Total	12 (100.0%)

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the Biostatistics-part of the course was:	3.8	0.9	24.5 %	2.0	3.0	4.0	4.5	5.0

In my view, the Toxicokinetic modelling module was:

In my view, the Toxicokinetic modelling module was:	Number of responses
very poor	1 (8.3%)
poor	6 (50.0%)
ok	3 (25.0%)
good	2 (16.7%)
very good	0 (0.0%)
Total	12 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the Toxicokinetic modelling module was:	2.5	0.9	36.2 %	1.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	4.0

In my view, the ToxCast workshop was:

In my view, the ToxCast workshop was:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	0 (0.0%)
ok	6 (50.0%)
good	1 (8.3%)
very good	5 (41.7%)
Total	12 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the ToxCast workshop was:	3.9	1.0	25.4 %	3.0	3.0	3.5	5.0	5.0

In my view, the SciRAP workshop was:

In my view, the SciRAP workshop was:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	0 (0.0%)
ok	2 (16.7%)
good	5 (41.7%)
very good	5 (41.7%)
Total	12 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the SciRAP workshop was:	4.2	0.8	17.7 %	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, the Career workshop was:

In my view, the Career workshop was:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	0 (0.0%)
ok	3 (25.0%)
good	1 (8.3%)
very good	8 (66.7%)
Total	12 (100.0%)

	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the Career workshop was:	4.4	0.9	20.4 %	3.0	3.5	5.0	5.0	5.0

In my view, the possibility to choose one of the elective tracks was:

In my view, the possibility to choose one of the elective tracks was:	Number of responses
very poor	0 (0.0%)
poor	0 (0.0%)
ok	3 (25.0%)
good	2 (16.7%)
very good	7 (58.3%)
Total	12 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the possibility to choose one of the elective tracks was:	4.3	0.9	20.5 %	3.0	3.5	5.0	5.0	5.0

Had you preferred more or less common activities for both tracks?

Had you preferred more or less common activities for both tracks?	Number of responses
less	3 (25.0%)
as it was	7 (58.3%)
more	2 (16.7%)
Total	12 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
Had you preferred more or less common activities for both tracks?	1.9	0.7	34.9 %	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	3.0