



4TX032 Regulatory toxicity testing (10 credits) Autumn 2025

Respondents: 30
Answer Count: 22
Answer Frequency: 73.33%


4TX032 Regulatory toxicity testing (10 credits) Autumn 2025

Respondents: 30
Answer Count: 22
Answer Frequency: 73.33%

The course was designed in a way that provided me with opportunities for active learning. For example: seminars with discussions, group work, projects, student presentations, role play, peer learning, practical exercises, laboratory work, workplace-based learning, etc.

The course was designed in a way that provided me with opportunities for active learning. For example: seminars with discussions, group work, projects, student presentations, role play, peer learning, practical exercises, laboratory work, workplace-based learning, etc.

	Number of responses
1 Totally disagree	1 (4.5%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	2 (9.1%)
5	6 (27.3%)
6 Totally agree	13 (59.1%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	22 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
The course was designed in a way that provided me with opportunities for active learning. For example: seminars with discussions, group work, projects, student presentations, role play, peer learning, practical exercises, laboratory work, workplace-based learning, etc.	5.3	1.2	22.0 %	1.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0



I felt included and respected during the course. For example: I was comfortable collaborating with other students, speaking in front of the group, answering teachers' questions, and I was listened to (not interrupted, ridiculed, or similar).


I felt included and respected during the course. For example: I was comfortable collaborating with other students, speaking in front of the group, answering teachers' questions, and I was listened to (not interrupted, ridiculed, or similar).	Number of responses
1 Totally disagree	1 (4.5%)
2	1 (4.5%)
3	1 (4.5%)
4	3 (13.6%)
5	4 (18.2%)
6 Totally agree	12 (54.5%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	22 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
I felt included and respected during the course. For example: I was comfortable collaborating with other students, speaking in front of the group, answering teachers' questions, and I was listened to (not interrupted, ridiculed, or similar).	5.0	1.4	28.9 %	1.0	4.5	6.0	6.0	6.0

The course as a whole was good.

The course as a whole was good.	Number of responses
1 Totally disagree	1 (4.5%)
2	1 (4.5%)
3	2 (9.1%)
4	2 (9.1%)
5	7 (31.8%)
6 Totally agree	9 (40.9%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	22 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
The course as a whole was good.	4.8	1.4	29.8 %	1.0	4.5	5.0	6.0	6.0



To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded?


To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded?	Number of responses
Far too little	1 (4.5%)
Too little	1 (4.5%)
Appropriate	19 (86.4%)
Too much	1 (4.5%)
Far too much	0 (0.0%)
Total	22 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded?	2.9	0.5	18.1 %	1.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0

When/if I had questions concerning the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher/supervisor for guidance.

When/if I had questions concerning the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher /supervisor for guidance.	Number of responses
1 Totally disagree	0 (0.0%)
2	2 (9.1%)
3	1 (4.5%)
4	2 (9.1%)
5	4 (18.2%)
6 Totally agree	11 (50.0%)
Don't know	2 (9.1%)
Total	22 (100.0%)






	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
When/if I had questions concerning the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher /supervisor for guidance.	5.0	1.4	26.9 %	2.0	4.5	6.0	6.0	6.0

In my view, the combination of activities on Campus, at RISE and on Zoom were:

In my view, the combination of activities on Campus, at RISE and on Zoom were:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (4.5%)
4	0 (0.0%)
5	13 (59.1%)
6 very good	8 (36.4%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	22 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the combination of activities on Campus, at RISE and on Zoom were:	5.3	0.7	13.3 %	3.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	6.0



In my view, the lectures were:


In my view, the lectures were:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (4.5%)
4	2 (9.1%)
5	12 (54.5%)
6 very good	7 (31.8%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	22 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the lectures were:	5.1	0.8	15.1 %	3.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	6.0

In my view, the group dynamics activity was:

In my view, the group dynamics activity was:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	1 (4.5%)
3	3 (13.6%)
4	9 (40.9%)
5	5 (22.7%)
6 very good	4 (18.2%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	22 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the group dynamics activity was:	4.4	1.1	25.0 %	2.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	6.0



In my view, the method part of the course (test guideline, GLP, study plan, SOPs) was:

In my view, the method part of the course (test guideline, GLP, study plan, SOPs) was:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	2 (9.1%)
4	4 (18.2%)
5	6 (27.3%)
6 very good	10 (45.5%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	22 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the method part of the course (test guideline, GLP, study plan, SOPs) was:	5.1	1.0	20.0 %	3.0	4.5	5.0	6.0	6.0

In my view, the study visit to AstraZeneca was:

In my view, the study visit to AstraZeneca was:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	1 (4.5%)
5	4 (18.2%)
6 very good	17 (77.3%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	22 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the study visit to AstraZeneca was:	5.7	0.6	9.6 %	4.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0



In my view, the results part of the course was:


In my view, the results part of the course was:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	2 (9.1%)
3	1 (4.5%)
4	2 (9.1%)
5	10 (45.5%)
6 very good	7 (31.8%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	22 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the results part of the course was:	4.9	1.2	24.8 %	2.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	6.0

In my view, the report writing part was:

In my view, the report writing part was:	Number of responses
1 very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	2 (9.1%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	4 (18.2%)
5	10 (45.5%)
6 very good	6 (27.3%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	22 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the report writing part was:	4.8	1.1	23.7 %	2.0	4.5	5.0	5.5	6.0



In my view, the tutors were able to support my learning during the course:


In my view, the tutors were able to support my learning during the course:	Number of responses
1 to a very small extent	1 (4.5%)
2	1 (4.5%)
3	1 (4.5%)
4	5 (22.7%)
5	7 (31.8%)
6 to a very large extent	7 (31.8%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	22 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the tutors were able to support my learning during the course:	4.7	1.4	29.0 %	1.0	4.0	5.0	6.0	6.0

In my view, there was a fair distribution of workload between the groups:

In my view, there was a fair distribution of workload between the groups:	Number of responses
1 to a very small extent	1 (4.5%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	2 (9.1%)
4	7 (31.8%)
5	8 (36.4%)
6 to a very large extent	3 (13.6%)
Don't know	1 (4.5%)
Total	22 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, there was a fair distribution of workload between the groups:	4.4	1.2	26.3 %	1.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	6.0



In my view, my experience from this course will be valuable for my future work/research

In my view, my experience from this course will be valuable for my future work/research	Number of responses
1 no	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	4 (18.2%)
5	5 (22.7%)
6 yes indeed	13 (59.1%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	22 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, my experience from this course will be valuable for my future work /research	5.4	0.8	14.7 %	4.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0