

Course analysis (course evaluation)

Course code 5BD005	Course title Mathematics of causal inference	Credits 7.5
Semester (VT/HT-yr) HT-2025	Dates 3 nov 2025 – 18 januari 2026	

Course Director Arvid Sjölander	Examiner Arvid Sjölander
Teachers in charge of different parts of the course Arvid Sjölander	Other participating teachers

Number of registered students at the 3-week check 18	Number passed at final course day 17	Response frequency course valuation survey 9 of 17 (52.94%)
Other methods for student influence (in addition to the final course valuation/survey) Oral feedback during the course		
Feedback reporting of the course evaluation results to the students This document will be put on the course web page.		

Note that...

The analysis should (together with a summarising quantitative summary of the students' course evaluation) be communicated to the education committee at the department responsible for the course and for programme courses also to the programme coordinating committee.

The analysis was communicated to the education committee on the following date: 260202
The analysis was communicated to the programme coordinating committee on the following date: 260202

1. Description of any changes implemented since the previous course occasion based on the views of former students

This was the first time the course was given.

2. Brief summary of the students' evaluation of the course

(Based on the students' quantitative responses to the course valuation and key views from free text responses. Quantitative summary and any graphs are attached.)

9 out of 17 answered. With the statement "The course as a whole was good" 6/9 agreed totally (6 on 6-grade scale) and 3/9 agreed almost totally (5 on 6-grade scale).

3. The Course Director's reflections on the implementation and results of the course

Strengths of the course:

All students were very motivated, and the format of the course (group presentations) worked well for this group of students.

Weaknesses of the course:



**Karolinska
Institutet**

Somewhat difficult to find suitable papers for all presentations – some were too simple, and some were too difficult.

3. Other views

None.

4. Course Director's conclusions and any suggestions for changes

(If changes are suggested, state who is responsible for implementing them and provide a schedule.)

I (Arvid) will try to replace some of the papers with better ones before next course occasion.

Appendices: