

## Course analysis (course evaluation)

<b>Course code</b> 4TX036	<b>Course title</b> Global Toxicology in a Sustainable Society	<b>Credits</b> 4
<b>Semester</b> (VT/HT-year) HT-2025		

<b>Course director/examiner</b> Hanna Karlsson	<b>Other teacher(s) responsible for major part(s) (if applicable)</b>
---	---

<b>Number of registered students (at 3-week check)</b> 30	<b>Number of students that passed at end of course (after regular session)</b> 23	<b>Response rate in KI survey (%)</b> 77%
<b>Other methods for influence by students</b> (besides KI survey) Discussions during course, possibility to suggest topics for project assignment		
<b>How and when is feedback of KI survey results given to students?</b> Added in Canvas and mentioned in the intro for new students		

### 1. Description of any changes made since last course event (based on for example feedback from previous students)

No major changes. In recent course evaluations the students have been very satisfied with the course.

### 2. Brief summary of the KI survey

(Based on students' quantitative answers and major feedback from free-text answers)

See below

<b>KI or programme-specific question</b>	<b>Average result</b> (1= totally disagree, 6= totally agree)
The course was designed in a way that provided me with opportunities for active learning.	5.6
I felt included and respected during the course.	5.8
The course as a whole was good.	5.3
To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded? <i>(1= far too little, 2= to little, 3= appropriate, 4= too much, 5= far too much)</i>	3.2
When/if I had questions or problems with the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher/supervisor for guidance.	5.9

### 3. Course director's reflections on the course and the results, the conclusions and suggestions for changes:

(to be published on the course web)

The course was in general much appreciated.

The variety of lectures, personal or group work, presentations, assignments were specifically mentioned as a strength by several students.

Two aspects were specifically mentioned as suggestion for improvement;

1. the connection of sustainability towards toxicology and



**2. greater depth in core topics such as finance, industry, and legislation (including e.g. EU Green Deal and political context, more discussion on painful trade-offs etc.)**

**Although one student felt that the course was too general since many students already are well aware of the SDGs, several other students specifically mentioned that the topic was completely new.**

---