



4TX036 Global Toxicology in a Sustainable Society (4 credits) Autumn 2026


Respondents: 28
Answer Count: 23
Answer Frequency: 82.14%

4TX036 Global Toxicology in a Sustainable Society (4 credits) Autumn 2026

Respondents: 28
Answer Count: 23
Answer Frequency: 82.14%

The course was designed in a way that provided me with opportunities for active learning. For example: seminars with discussions, group work, projects, student presentations, role play, peer learning, practical exercises, laboratory work, workplace-based learning, etc.

The course was designed in a way that provided me with opportunities for active learning. For example: seminars with discussions, group work, projects, student presentations, role play, peer learning, practical exercises, laboratory work, workplace-based learning, etc.	Number of responses
1 Totally disagree	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	1 (4.3%)
5	9 (39.1%)
6 Totally agree	13 (56.5%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	23 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
The course was designed in a way that provided me with opportunities for active learning. For example: seminars with discussions, group work, projects, student presentations, role play, peer learning, practical exercises, laboratory work, workplace-based learning, etc.	5.5	0.6	10.7 %	4.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0



I felt included and respected during the course. For example: I was comfortable collaborating with other students, speaking in front of the group, answering teachers' questions, and I was listened to (not interrupted, ridiculed, or similar).


I felt included and respected during the course. For example: I was comfortable collaborating with other students, speaking in front of the group, answering teachers' questions, and I was listened to (not interrupted, ridiculed, or similar).	Number of responses
1 Totally disagree	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	1 (4.3%)
5	7 (30.4%)
6 Totally agree	15 (65.2%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	23 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
I felt included and respected during the course. For example: I was comfortable collaborating with other students, speaking in front of the group, answering teachers' questions, and I was listened to (not interrupted, ridiculed, or similar).	5.6	0.6	10.4 %	4.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0

The course as a whole was good.

The course as a whole was good.	Number of responses
1 Totally disagree	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	4 (17.4%)
5	7 (30.4%)
6 Totally agree	12 (52.2%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	23 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
The course as a whole was good.	5.3	0.8	14.5 %	4.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0



To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded?


To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded?	Number of responses
Far too little	0 (0.0%)
Too little	0 (0.0%)
Appropriate	21 (91.3%)
Too much	1 (4.3%)
Far too much	1 (4.3%)
Total	23 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded?	3.1	0.5	14.6 %	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	5.0

When/if I had questions concerning the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher/supervisor for guidance.

When/if I had questions concerning the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher/supervisor for guidance.	Number of responses
1 Totally disagree	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	1 (4.3%)
5	6 (26.1%)
6 Totally agree	16 (69.6%)
Don't know	0 (0.0%)
Total	23 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
When/if I had questions concerning the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher/supervisor for guidance.	5.7	0.6	10.1 %	4.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	6.0



In my view, the lectures were: (write comments on specific lectures if you want)


In my view, the lectures were: (write comments on specific lectures if you want)	Number of responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	1 (4.3%)
4	1 (4.3%)
5	11 (47.8%)
6 Very good	10 (43.5%)
Total	23 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the lectures were: (write comments on specific lectures if you want)	5.3	0.8	14.4 %	3.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	6.0

In my view, the on-line activities in Canvas (Module 1-5) were: (write comments on specific modules if you want)

In my view, the on-line activities in Canvas (Module 1-5) were: (write comments on specific modules if you want)	Number of responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	3 (13.0%)
4	6 (26.1%)
5	10 (43.5%)
6 Very good	4 (17.4%)
Total	23 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the on-line activities in Canvas (Module 1-5) were: (write comments on specific modules if you want)	4.7	0.9	20.1 %	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	6.0



In my view, the group assignment was:

In my view, the group assignment was:	Number of responses
1 Very poor	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)
3	0 (0.0%)
4	2 (8.7%)
5	11 (47.8%)
6 Very good	10 (43.5%)
Total	23 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
In my view, the group assignment was:	5.3	0.6	12.1 %	4.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	6.0

In my view, the balance between the different parts of the course was;

Authorities' perspective

Authorities' perspective	Number of responses
1 Far too little	0 (0.0%)
2	2 (8.7%)
3	8 (34.8%)
4	11 (47.8%)
5	2 (8.7%)
6 Far too much	0 (0.0%)
Total	23 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
Authorities' perspective	3.6	0.8	22.1 %	2.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0



Companies' perspective


Companies' perspective	Number of responses
1 Far too little	0 (0.0%)
2	1 (4.3%)
3	7 (30.4%)
4	12 (52.2%)
5	3 (13.0%)
6 Far too much	0 (0.0%)
Total	23 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
Companies' perspective	3.7	0.8	20.1 %	2.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0

Research's perspective

Research's perspective	Number of responses
1 Far too little	0 (0.0%)
2	2 (8.7%)
3	11 (47.8%)
4	7 (30.4%)
5	3 (13.0%)
6 Far too much	0 (0.0%)
Total	23 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
Research's perspective	3.5	0.8	24.3 %	2.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	5.0



Civil society/Non-Governmental Organization's perspective


Civil society/Non-Governmental Organization's perspective	Number of responses
1 Far too little	1 (4.3%)
2	2 (8.7%)
3	6 (26.1%)
4	12 (52.2%)
5	2 (8.7%)
6 Far too much	0 (0.0%)
Total	23 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
Civil society /Non-Governmental Organization's perspective	3.5	0.9	26.9 %	1.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0

Individuals/consumers' perspective

Individuals/consumers' perspective	Number of responses
1 Far too little	0 (0.0%)
2	3 (13.0%)
3	7 (30.4%)
4	11 (47.8%)
5	2 (8.7%)
6 Far too much	0 (0.0%)
Total	23 (100.0%)




	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
Individuals /consumers' perspective	3.5	0.8	24.0 %	2.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0



Part about Chemical accidents

Part about Chemical accidents	Number of responses
1 Far too little	0 (0.0%)
2	3 (13.0%)
3	8 (34.8%)
4	9 (39.1%)
5	3 (13.0%)
6 Far too much	0 (0.0%)
Total	23 (100.0%)



	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation	Min	Lower Quartile	Median	Upper Quartile	Max
Part about Chemical accidents	3.5	0.9	25.5 %	2.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0