Course analysis (course evaluation)

Course code	Course title	Credits
4TX030	Application of methods in toxicological research	16.5
Semester (VT/HT-year) VT 2026		

Course director/examiner	Other teacher(s) responsible for major part(s) (if applicable)
Kristian Dreij	**Felipe de Oliveira Galvao**

Number of registered students (at 3-week check)	Number of students that passed at end of course (after regular session)	Response rate in KI survey (%)
28	**10**	**78.6**
Other methods for influence by students (besides KI survey) **Course Council**		
How and when is feedback of KI survey results given to students? **Course Web**		

1. Description of any changes made since last course event (based on for example feedback from previous students)

The course contained one extra day of Omics to reduce the workload, and we reorganized the following lab week and Omics discussions to facilitate the procedure of chosing lab methods. These were changes in part due to student comments.

2. Brief summary of the KI survey

(Based on students' quantitative answers and major feedback from free-text answers)

The course and its components were generally very well received, although some students thought the workload was too high. All the labs received high marks (5.4–5.6), as did the overall structure of combining omics data analysis with wet labs to confirm transcriptome data. Students had mixed impressions of the virtual labs, biostatistics and omics (<5). There were some comments about the structure and content of the Biostatistics course, and this course will be extended by one week for next year.

KI or programme-specific question	Average result *(1= totally disagree, 6= totally agree)*
The course was designed in a way that provided me with opportunities for active learning.	**5.5**
I felt included and respected during the course.	**5.6**
The course as a whole was good.	**5.2**
To what extent do you feel that the workload during the course was reasonable in relation to the extent of the course/number of credits awarded? *(1= far too little, 2= to little, 3= appropriate, 4= too much, 5= far too much)*	**3.4**
When/if I had questions or problems with the course content, I felt that I could turn to my teacher/supervisor for guidance.	**5.5**

3. Course director's reflections on the course and the results, the conclusions and suggestions for changes:
(to be published on the course web)

A very well appreciated course and we will continue to develop the course to balance content and work load. Next year's course will contain a 2-week Biostat course and the alternative methods week will be moved to another course.